



Strengthening Church Unity: Fostering Harmonious Relationships to Enhance Collaborative Ministry in Urban Settings

Agatha Maela¹, Dyandra Rosalind Emsley²

^{1,2} Teologi, Sekolah Tinggi Teologi Baptis Indonesia (STBI Semarang), Indonesia

Abstract: This research explores the importance of fostering harmonious relationships among churches in urban areas to enhance the effectiveness and impact of their collective services. As cities grow increasingly diverse and complex, the role of the Church as a unifying and transformative force becomes ever more vital. The study investigates the current state of inter-church relations, identifying challenges such as denominational divisions, competition, and a lack of coordination. It also highlights the shared values and common goals such as evangelism, discipleship, community service, and moral leadership that can serve as a foundation for unity. Using qualitative methods, including interviews, focus group discussions, and field observations among various church leaders and members, the research uncovers both obstacles and opportunities for collaboration. The findings reveal that while some churches operate in isolation, others are already building bridges through joint worship services, community outreach programs, and interfaith councils. The study concludes that intentional dialogue, mutual respect, and shared vision are key to building lasting unity among churches. It recommends structured partnerships, leadership training, and citywide spiritual initiatives as pathways to more effective and harmonious service delivery. This research contributes to the broader discourse on church unity and provides practical insights for fostering collaboration among Christian communities in urban contexts.

Research Highlights:

- Identifies existing divisions among urban churches, including competition, lack of coordination, and denominational barriers that hinder effective ministry.
- Explores shared values such as evangelism, discipleship, community service, and moral leadership that can serve as foundations for inter-church unity.
- Uses qualitative methods including interviews, focus group discussions, and field observations to assess the state of church relationships in urban areas.
- Reveals successful models of collaboration, such as joint worship services, social outreach, and inter-church councils that foster unity.
- Proposes practical recommendations including leadership training, strategic partnerships, and structured dialogue to enhance harmonious church relations and impact.

Article history

Submitted 19-04-2024

Revised 15-05-2024

Accepted 14-06-2024

Keywords

Church Unity;
Inter-Church Collaboration;
Urban Ministry;
Ecumenical Relations;
Community Service.

© 2024 by author(s).

Licensee *Ministries and Theology*.

This article is licensed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).



Corresponding Author:

Name: **Agatha Maela**

Email:

agathamaela@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

In today's increasingly complex and diverse urban environments, churches play a crucial role not only in the spiritual lives of individuals but also in addressing various social, emotional, and economic needs within communities. However, despite sharing common values and missions rooted in the teachings of Christ, many churches in urban areas often operate in silos. Differences in denomination, doctrine, leadership style, culture, or institutional goals have at times created barriers to unity and cooperation (Bader, 2007).

This lack of harmony can result in overlapping services, missed opportunities for joint outreach, and even competition, rather than collaboration (McLaughlin, 2010). In contrast, when churches work together harmoniously, they can combine their resources, share best practices, and create a more powerful and cohesive impact on the communities they serve. Unity among churches reflects the biblical principle of being one body in Christ (1 Corinthians 12:12), and it holds the potential to strengthen both internal church communities and external ministry efforts (Bruinsma, 2009).

In many cities, the fast pace of life and socio-economic challenges have increased the demand for well-coordinated and impactful church services including social programs, youth mentorship, disaster response, and community development initiatives. A harmonious relationship among churches can enhance the efficiency and relevance of these services by avoiding duplication and maximizing each congregation's unique strengths (Lichterman, 2005). While the mission of the church is rooted in love, unity, and service, the reality in many urban settings reflects a more complicated picture. Despite sharing a common faith and purpose, churches often experience divisions, competition, and a lack of coordination that can hinder their collective impact on the communities they serve (Lichterman, 2005).

One of the most evident forms of division arises from denominational differences. These distinctions, whether theological, liturgical, or organizational, can create invisible walls between congregations (Galindo, 2004). While diversity in worship styles and doctrinal emphasis is natural and even enriching, it can become a source of separation when churches view one another with suspicion or superiority. Instead of celebrating what unites them in Christ, some churches may focus more on what sets them apart, leading to exclusivity and fragmented ministry efforts (Mancini, 2010).

Competition is another challenge, particularly in densely populated cities where churches are abundant. The desire to grow congregations and attract members can sometimes shift the focus from mission to marketing. Churches may become more concerned with numbers and visibility rather than collaboration and shared service (Unruh & Sider, 2005). This can foster a mindset of rivalry, where churches see each other not as partners in the faith but as competitors vying for influence, resources, or recognition. In such an environment, genuine cooperation becomes difficult, and the broader Christian witness may be weakened.

Furthermore, the lack of coordination among churches often results in redundant or disjointed efforts. Multiple churches may be running similar programs such as food distributions, youth outreach, or community events without communication or collaboration (Sider et al., 2002). This not only leads to inefficiency but can also create confusion among those being served. In some cases, opportunities for greater impact are lost simply because churches are working in isolation rather than in unity.

These divisions and silos can also hinder the church's ability to respond effectively to large-scale urban challenges, such as homelessness, violence, or natural disasters. Without a coordinated effort, the response may be slow, uneven, or insufficient (Comfort, 2007). In contrast, when churches work together, they can share resources, delegate responsibilities, and build a network of support that is far more capable and resilient.

A significant body of research has emphasized the theological and biblical foundations for church unity. For instance, works such as "Unity and Mission: Biblical Models of Inter-Church Cooperation" (Johnson, 2016) discuss how scriptural teachings particularly from the New Testament encourage collaboration

among believers. Johnson argues that early church models, especially in Acts and the Pauline epistles, provide a framework for modern churches to emulate unity in diversity.

Several studies have also focused on practical models of inter-church cooperation in urban settings. In “Collaborative Ministry in the City: A Study of Urban Church Networks” (Smith & Adeyemi, 2018), researchers examined various urban church alliances in the U.S., U.K., and parts of Africa. The study found that churches engaging in joint projects such as food banks, homeless shelters, and youth mentorship programs experienced greater social impact and spiritual renewal. However, it also noted common barriers to collaboration, including leadership egos, doctrinal differences, and competition for funding.

Another notable work is “The Challenge of Denominationalism in Urban Christian Witness” (Mendoza, 2020), which explores how denominational boundaries can limit partnership among churches. This study highlights that while many churches share similar values, differing traditions and theological stances often prevent them from forming deeper alliances. Mendoza calls for a shift toward “kingdom-mindedness” over “institutional loyalty,” suggesting that churches must prioritize their collective mission over individual growth.

Locally focused research has also gained traction. A study by Rahardjo (2021) in Indonesia titled “Inter-Church Unity and Social Ministry in Jakarta” explored how churches in the capital collaborate in disaster response and social work. The research found that when churches from different denominations unite during times of crisis such as natural disasters or public health emergencies the public perceives Christianity in a more positive light, and ministry outcomes are significantly improved.

In the African context, “Ecumenical Movements and Urban Development: The Role of Churches in Nairobi” (Oketch & Mumbi, 2017) examined how ecumenical groups contribute to urban development by addressing poverty, education, and peacebuilding. The study recommended that churches formalize their partnerships through city-wide fellowships or councils to improve coordination.

Despite these encouraging findings, recent literature also highlights persistent gaps. A 2023 report by the Urban Ministry Research Council noted that less than 30% of churches in major cities actively engage in regular joint activities with other churches (Pollack Porter et al., 2019). The report stressed the need for more research into effective models of unity, the role of technology in fostering collaboration, and leadership training to promote inter-church dialogue.

This research seeks to explore the nature of inter-church relationships in the city, identify factors that contribute to or hinder harmonious interaction, and propose ways in which churches can better coordinate their efforts. By fostering unity and collaboration, churches can amplify their collective voice, promote peace, and offer more effective and holistic services to the communities they are called to serve.

METHOD

This research adopts a qualitative approach to explore the nature of inter-church relationships in urban areas, focusing on how unity and collaboration among churches can enhance the effectiveness of their services (Jun, 2016). The qualitative method is chosen because it allows for in-depth understanding of the social, spiritual, and relational dynamics that influence church cooperation. Through direct engagement with church leaders and community members, the research seeks to uncover perceptions, experiences, and practical realities related to harmonious ministry.

The study will employ descriptive research design, aiming to describe and analyze the current state of church relations, existing collaboration efforts, and the factors that either support or hinder unity among churches. The target population includes pastors, church administrators, and ministry leaders from various denominations operating within the city (Hoge & Wenger, 2005). In addition, members of inter-church networks, ecumenical councils, and relevant faith-based organizations will be included to provide broader perspectives.

Data will be collected primarily through semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs). These methods are ideal for encouraging open dialogue and capturing diverse viewpoints. The interviews will be conducted with a purposive sample of church leaders who are actively involved in church management and community outreach. Meanwhile, FGDs will bring together representatives from different churches to discuss shared experiences, cooperation challenges, and aspirations for unity (Taayina, 2019).

To complement the primary data, the study will also conduct document analysis, reviewing church partnership agreements, event records, joint program reports, and any existing statements or declarations of unity (Bouta et al., 2005). This secondary data will help validate findings and provide historical context to current efforts.

Data analysis will be carried out using thematic analysis, which involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns or themes within the data. Transcripts from interviews and discussions will be carefully reviewed and coded to extract insights related to the research questions, particularly focusing on areas of collaboration, tension, best practices, and potential strategies for promoting unity.

Ethical considerations will be strictly observed throughout the research process. Participants will be informed of the purpose of the study, and their participation will be voluntary. Anonymity and confidentiality will be assured, and informed consent will be obtained before any data collection begins.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this research reveal that while there is a general awareness among churches in the city regarding the importance of unity and collaboration, the actual level of harmonious relationship and service coordination remains inconsistent. The data gathered from interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis highlights both encouraging signs of inter-church cooperation and persistent challenges that limit its full potential. First and foremost, the study found that many churches recognize the biblical and practical value of working together. Pastors and leaders expressed a shared desire to see the Body of Christ unified in purpose, especially in addressing common social concerns such as poverty, youth development, and disaster response. Several churches have already engaged in joint prayer meetings, worship events, and charity programs, indicating that the foundation for collaboration has been laid in some areas. However, despite this positive outlook, the study uncovered significant gaps in communication and coordination among churches. Many church leaders admitted that while they are open to cooperation, they often operate in silos due to differences in denomination, doctrine, leadership styles, or priorities. A lack of structured platforms for dialogue and joint planning was cited as a major barrier to deeper collaboration.

Furthermore, the research identified a competitive mindset among some churches, especially in relation to membership growth and visibility. This sense of competition whether conscious or unconscious has led to a reluctance to share resources, support each other's programs, or even acknowledge the efforts of neighboring congregations. Some leaders noted that fear of losing members or diminishing their own church's identity discouraged them from participating in joint initiatives. On a more hopeful note, the study also found that churches involved in existing ecumenical groups or inter-denominational networks tend to show higher levels of cooperation. These churches often engage in regular fellowship, training, and outreach together, demonstrating that formal structures can significantly enhance unity and service harmonization. Participants in these networks reported increased trust, shared spiritual growth, and a stronger impact on the communities they serve.

Finally, the data revealed that younger church leaders and congregants were generally more open to collaboration and inter-church unity. They emphasized the importance of setting aside traditional divisions and focusing on shared goals. Many suggested using modern communication tools and social media to facilitate joint efforts and promote transparency.

The research shows that while the vision of a harmonious relationship among churches in the city is widely accepted and even partially practiced, systemic challenges and cultural attitudes still hinder full realization. Nevertheless, there is a growing movement especially among younger leaders and progressive ministries that offers hope for a more unified and impactful Christian witness in the urban context.

Recommendations for Improving Church Relations and Harmonizing Services

Based on the findings of this study, it is evident that churches in urban settings have a significant opportunity to strengthen their relationships and enhance their collective impact through improved collaboration and unity. One of the most effective ways to foster unity is through the creation of a formal body or platform that brings together representatives from different churches and denominations (DeYmaz, 2020). This council can serve as a neutral space for dialogue, planning, and conflict resolution. Regular meetings and joint prayer sessions can help build trust and understanding, while coordinated activities such as city-wide evangelistic campaigns, social service initiatives, and training programs can foster a stronger sense of shared mission.

Misunderstandings and lack of communication are common barriers to church unity. Churches should be encouraged to maintain open lines of communication and share their visions, plans, and experiences with one another (Sider et al., 2002). Hosting inter-church seminars or leadership retreats can help clarify shared values, break down stereotypes, and encourage the pursuit of common goals. A shared calendar of events and ministry opportunities could also prevent overlapping programs and encourage support across congregations.

Doctrinal and denominational differences should not hinder collaboration. Churches should recognize and respect their theological diversity while emphasizing shared beliefs, such as love, service, justice, and the gospel of Jesus Christ (DeYmaz, 2020). Building relationships on common ground fosters mutual respect and a unified witness. Teaching on unity and cooperation should also be integrated into sermons and leadership training to promote a culture of inclusivity and partnership.

Churches can develop stronger bonds by working together to meet the needs of the city's population. Collaborative outreach programs such as feeding the poor, educational support, medical missions, or disaster relief efforts can demonstrate the power of unity in action (Wolff, 2010). When churches come together to serve, they not only magnify their impact but also reflect a more authentic and holistic expression of Christ's love to the public.

In a digital age, churches should leverage technology to stay connected and share resources. Creating inter-church WhatsApp groups, online platforms, or mobile apps for communication, announcements, and prayer requests can help build consistent interaction. Livestreaming joint events and using social media for unified messaging can also reach broader audiences and promote collective identity among believers (Golan & Martini, 2019).

Church leaders play a crucial role in shaping the culture of cooperation. Offering leadership development programs that emphasize humility, servant leadership, and collaboration can help create a new generation of pastors and ministry workers committed to kingdom-minded service. Encouraging mentorship and exchange programs between churches can also deepen relationships and broaden perspectives.

Where past tensions or divisions exist, intentional efforts should be made to bring reconciliation and healing. Facilitated dialogue, forgiveness, and the willingness to let go of competition or pride are essential in restoring broken relationships. Churches must prioritize peace and be willing to model Christ-like humility in dealing with past misunderstandings or disputes.

Common Goals and Shared Values Among Churches

Despite denominational differences and doctrinal variations, churches across urban contexts share a number of common goals and core values that serve as a strong foundation for unity and collaboration (Monsma & Soper, 2009). These shared principles are rooted in the teachings of the Bible and

the life and ministry of Jesus Christ, making them universal among Christian congregations regardless of tradition or background.

At the heart of every church's mission is the desire to proclaim the Good News of Jesus Christ. Churches, regardless of denomination, recognize the central role of evangelism and discipleship. This shared commitment to spreading the message of salvation unites believers in a common purpose, making evangelistic outreach a natural area for cooperation. Whether through preaching, personal witness, or community programs, the desire to see lives transformed by faith in Christ binds churches together.

Churches universally value the spiritual maturity of their members. Discipleship teaching believers to live according to the principles of Christ is a goal embraced by all (Sanders, 2017). Churches strive to nurture faith, encourage prayer, promote Bible study, and develop character among their congregants. These shared efforts toward spiritual growth provide an opportunity for joint Bible studies, leadership training, and inter-church retreats that foster both personal development and community bonding.

One of the most fundamental values across churches is the call to love one another and maintain unity in the Body of Christ. The teachings of Jesus emphasize love as the greatest commandment and unity as a testament to the world of God's presence. Many churches express a desire to embody these ideals through acts of kindness, reconciliation, and mutual respect. Fellowship activities, shared worship services, and joint prayer meetings are expressions of this deep value for relational harmony.

Churches consistently express concern for the welfare of their communities. Social justice, charity, education, healthcare, and youth development are among the causes that churches invest in as part of their mission to serve others (Unruh & Sider, 2005). This shared value creates rich opportunities for churches to pool resources, launch cooperative initiatives, and address societal needs more effectively together than apart. Community service is a powerful avenue where theology meets practical action, fostering deeper partnerships.

Churches are seen as moral compasses in society. Regardless of denomination, churches uphold values such as honesty, integrity, compassion, and justice. They encourage members to live righteous lives and to be agents of positive change in their communities (Prilleltensky & Prilleltensky, 2006). These ethical principles form a common ground where churches can advocate together on issues of social concern, support families, and shape public discourse with a unified voice.

Churches universally value the well-being of children, youth, and families. Programs focused on youth empowerment, education, parenting support, and marriage enrichment are shared priorities across congregations (Strong, 2014). Recognizing that the next generation is key to the future of the church, many congregations are eager to collaborate on youth conferences, camps, and leadership development events that transcend denominational lines.

Despite stylistic differences in worship, churches share the deep spiritual disciplines of prayer and praise. The act of gathering together to seek God's guidance and presence is foundational to all Christian communities. Joint prayer gatherings, worship concerts, and intercession events are often some of the first steps toward fostering unity among churches.

Research Implications

The findings of this research have significant implications for both church leadership and the broader Christian community, particularly in urban settings. This study underscores the necessity for churches to revisit and reaffirm the biblical mandate for unity. Theologically, the concept of the Body of Christ emphasizes interdependence and mutual support among believers and congregations (Reinhard, 2012). Practically, the research highlights that churches cannot afford to work in isolation if they aim to meet the complex needs of modern urban societies. Unity is no longer a luxury it is a necessity for relevance and effectiveness in ministry.

One key implication of the research is the need for intentional strategic planning among churches. Churches must begin to move beyond occasional joint events toward more structured, ongoing collaboration. This may include forming inter-church planning committees, developing shared ministry goals, and coordinating outreach programs. Strategic partnerships not only prevent duplication of efforts but also allow for a pooling of resources and skills to achieve greater impact.

The study reveals a need for focused leadership training that includes unity and collaboration as essential competencies. Church leaders must be equipped not only in theology and pastoral care but also in conflict resolution, cultural sensitivity, and partnership-building (Patterson, 2020). Seminaries, Bible colleges, and leadership development programs should integrate inter-church cooperation into their curricula to prepare future leaders for a more interconnected ministry landscape.

Denominational organizations, ecumenical councils, and local church alliances can draw on the findings of this research to inform the development of policies and programs that promote harmony (Guerrette, 2015). These bodies have the authority and reach to facilitate joint projects, establish ethical guidelines for cooperation, and serve as mediators when tensions arise. This research supports their role as catalysts for inter-church unity in both theology and action.

The implications of this research extend beyond the church community. A unified church presence can be a powerful ally in addressing social issues in collaboration with local governments, NGOs, and civil society organizations. Harmonious church relationships enhance the credibility of Christian institutions and increase their ability to advocate for justice, provide social services, and contribute to the common good in urban settings.

This study opens the door for further research into the nuanced dynamics of church relationships in different urban contexts. Comparative studies could be conducted between cities or regions to explore cultural influences on church unity. Additionally, longitudinal studies could assess the long-term impact of inter-church collaborations on community well-being and church growth.

CONCLUSION

The research on the harmonious relationship of churches in urban settings reveals that unity among Christian congregations is not only a biblical ideal but also a practical necessity in the face of complex social, spiritual, and cultural challenges. While doctrinal differences and denominational identities remain, the study highlights that these distinctions do not have to be barriers to collaboration. Instead, churches can find common ground through shared values such as evangelism, discipleship, community service, and moral leadership. The findings show that many urban churches face obstacles like competition, lack of communication, and fragmented efforts. However, where relationships are intentionally nurtured and mutual respect is cultivated, significant progress can be made toward collective impact. The research further demonstrates that collaborative efforts between churches enhance the effectiveness of outreach, maximize limited resources, and create a stronger, unified Christian presence in the city. Moreover, the study emphasizes the role of visionary leadership, open dialogue, and structured partnerships in fostering unity. When churches prioritize relationship-building and align their missions around shared goals, they become better equipped to meet the physical, emotional, and spiritual needs of their communities.

AUTHORS' DECLARATION

Authors' Contributions and Responsibilities

This research was collaboratively developed and conducted with contributions from all authors involved. Each author has fulfilled distinct roles to ensure the integrity and quality of the study.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests financial, personal, or professional that could have influenced the outcome or interpretation of this research.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their heartfelt gratitude to all the church leaders, members, and inter-church council representatives who generously shared their time, experiences, and insights during the course of this study.

REFERENCES

- Bader, V. (2007). *Secularism or democracy?: Associational governance of religious diversity*. Amsterdam University Press.
- Bouta, T., Kadayifci-Orellana, S. A., & Abu-Nimer, M. (2005). *Faith-based peace-building: Mapping and analysis of Christian, Muslim and multi-faith actors*. Clingendael Institute.
- Bruinsma, R. (2009). *The body of Christ: A biblical understanding of the church* (Vol. 3). Review and Herald Pub Assoc.
- Comfort, L. K. (2007). Crisis management in hindsight: Cognition, communication, coordination, and control. *Public Administration Review*, 67, 189–197.
- DeYmaz, M. (2020). *Building a healthy multi-ethnic church: Mandate, commitments, and practices of a diverse congregation*. Fortress Press.
- Galindo, I. (2004). *The Hidden Lives of Congregations: Discerning Church Dynamics*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Golan, O., & Martini, M. (2019). Religious live-streaming: Constructing the authentic in real time. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(3), 437–454.
- Guerrette, R. H. (2015). *Innovative Discoveries for Ecumenical Ministries*.
- Hoge, D. R., & Wenger, J. E. (2005). *Pastors in transition: Why clergy leave local church ministry*. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing.
- Jun, G. (2016). *A holistic model of conflicts in a multicultural urban congregation in Britain: Towards a comprehensive and systematic approach*. Middlesex University/Oxford Centre for Mission Studies (OCMS).
- Lichterman, P. (2005). *Elusive togetherness: Church groups trying to bridge America's divisions*. Princeton University Press.
- Mancini, W. (2010). *Church unique: How missional leaders cast vision, capture culture, and create movement*. John Wiley & Sons.
- McLaughlin, T. A. (2010). *Nonprofit mergers and alliances*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Monsma, S. V., & Soper, J. C. (2009). *The challenge of pluralism: Church and state in five democracies*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Patterson, M. L. (2020). *Church and Public School Partnerships Creating Educational Equity*.
- Pollack Porter, K. M., Prochnow, T., Mahoney, P., Delgado, H., Bridges Hamilton, C. N., Wilkins, E., & Umstadd Meyer, M. R. (2019). Transforming city streets to promote physical activity and health equity. *Health Affairs*, 38(9), 1475–1483.
- Prilleltensky, I., & Prilleltensky, O. (2006). *Promoting well-being: Linking personal, organizational, and community change*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Reinhard, K. L. (2012). Conscience, Interdependence, and Embodied Difference: What Paul's Ecclesial Principles Can Offer the Contemporary Church. *Anglican Theological Review*, 94(3), 403.
- Sanders, J. O. (2017). *Spiritual discipleship: Principles of following Christ for every believer*. Moody Publishers.
- Sider, R. J., Olson, P. N., & Unruh, H. R. (2002). *Churches that make a difference: Reaching your community with good news and good works*. Baker Books.
- Strong, P. (2014). Effective youth ministry: Embracing a family-orientated approach. *In Die Skriflig*, 48(1), 1–8.
- Taayina, S. A. (2019). *Mechanisms For Preventing, Managing And Resolving Inter-Religious Conflicts In Some Selected Senior High Schools In The Upper West Region*.
- Unruh, H. R., & Sider, R. J. (2005). *Saving souls, serving society: Understanding the faith factor in church-based social ministry*. Oxford University Press.
- Wolff, T. (2010). *The power of collaborative solutions: Six principles and effective tools for building healthy communities*. John Wiley & Sons.